

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/633,767	SIKES, STEVE C.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Robert G. Santos	3673	<i>My</i>

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Robert G. Santos.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Carl M. Davis II.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 July 2004
**Time:** 3:15 p.m.
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4

Prior art documents discussed:


U.S. Patent Nos. 5,369,824 to Powell, 3,378,862 to Skinner, 4,382,306 to Lickert and D341,725 to Piper.

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Mr. Davis and the examiner agreed to the minor change to dependent claim 4 in order to place the application in proper condition for allowance; Mr. Davis also submitted an IDS citing the aforementioned prior art references.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)